

Stating general opposition to uranium mining, drilling and uranium waste disposal, especially in the Black Hills (without more specific information)

General concerns for impacts to Native Americans/the sacred Black Hills

Concerns about tribal trust responsibilities and tribal treaty rights

Concerns about respect to Native Americans (e.g. having a Lakota translator present at the hearings, even proposing the draft permit is an affront)

Concerns about EPA's tribal consultation process

Native American narratives (historiy, present day, etc.)

Concerns about general environmental impacts

Concerns for contamination of surface water and the Cheyenne River

Concerns about impacts to aquifers & contamination of drinking water

Concerns about seismic activity related to injection activity

Concerns about hydrofracking

Concerns about historical exploration boreholes (including impacts of improper closure)

Concerns about the proposed aquifer exemption

Concerns specifically about uranium ISR

Against uranium mining in general

Against uranium mining because of problems with nuclear power generation and nuclear weapons

Concerns about the price of uranium and future viability of nuclear energy

Concerns about effects of past uranium mining

Concerns about Azarga (integrity of investors, integrity of company itselt, solvency, experience in the ISR industry, etc.)

Issuance of these draft permits seems contrary to EPA's mission

Concerns about ability of EPA to monitor the activity or require clean-up because of uncertain future of EPA

Concerns about adequate monitoring (Mistrust of Powertech fulfilling monitoring requirements, the permit needs to contain additional monitoring requirements, etc.)

Comments about plans for injection of fluids received from outside the Dewey-Burdock Area

Comments on draft Environmental Justice Analysis document

Comments on draft Cumulative Effects Analysis document

Comments on draft NHPA document

Comments in support of the project

Comments on EPA hampering the project (e.g. EPA taking too long to issue draft permit or permit requirements are too stringent)

General questions about the EPA permitting and public review processes

List of questions asked: (divided into rhetorical questions & questions for EPA to answer in the response to comments)

Specific technical comments related to errors or suggested changes in the draft permits and fact sheets, separated into Class V and Class III.

EPA needs to conduct further investigation

Topic unrelated to the UIC draft permits & aquifer exemption.